

54



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/915,895 | 07/26/2001 | Paul W. Dent | 4015-981 | 7299 |
| 24112 | 7590 | 03/18/2005 | | |
| COATS & BENNETT, PLLC P O BOX 5 RALEIGH, NC 27602 | | | EXAMINER DANIEL JR, WILLIE J | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2686 | PAPER NUMBER |
| DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/915,895 | DENT, PAUL W. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Willie J. Daniel, Jr. | 2686 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Willie J. Daniel, Jr. (3) Mike Murphy (Reg. # 44,958).
 (2) Marsha D. Banks-Harold. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Harrison.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant failed to provide support in the applied Harrison reference that precludes the claimed "...transmitting at least one dummy pilot signal..." as cited by Examiner in Final action mailed on 13 January 2005 (see Harrison - col. 4, lines 14-29, 60-67; col. 2, line 65 - col. 3, line 27; Figs. 1-3, 5). Applicant was informed to provide comments in writing since interview failed to further prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Marsha D Banks-Harold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Willie J. Daniel, Jr.
 Examiner's signature, if required